arXiv:1408.1188v1 [math.CA] 6 Aug 2014

On the Kurzweil-Henstock Integral in Probability

Sorin G. Gal
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
University of Oradea,
Universitatii 1, 410087, Oradea, Romania
E-mail: *galso@uoradea.ro*

Abstract

By using the method in [5], the aim of the present note is to generalize the Riemann integral in probability introduced in [7], to Kurzweil-Henstock integral in probability. Properties of the new integral are proved.

AMS 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A39, 60A10.
Keywords and phrases: Random variable, Probability, Riemann integral in probability, Kurzweil-Henstock integral in probability.

1 Introduction

Let (E, B, P) be a field of probability, where E is a nonempty set, B a field of parts on E and P a composite probability on B. Let us denote by $L(E, B, P)$ the set of all real random variables defined on E and a.e. finite.

It is well-known the following concept :

Definition 1.1. (see e.g. [7], p. 50) We say that the random function $f : [a, b] \to L(E, B, P)$ (where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b$) is Riemann integrable in probability on $[a, b]$, if there exists a random variable $I = I(\omega) \in L(E, B, P)$ satisfying : for all $\varepsilon > 0, \eta > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$, such that for all divisions $d : a = x_0 < x_1 < ... < x_n = b$ with the norm $\nu(d) < \delta$ and all $\xi_i \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$, $i \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$, we have

$$P(\{\omega \in E; |S(f; d, \xi_i)(\omega) - I(\omega)| \geq \varepsilon\}) < \eta,$$

where $\nu(d) = \max\{x_{i+1} - x_i; i = 0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ and

$$S(f; d, \xi_i)(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_i, \omega)(x_{i+1} - x_i).$$

In this case, $I(\omega)$ is called the Riemann integral in probability of f on $[a, b]$ and it is denoted by $I(\omega) = (P) \int_a^b f(t, \omega)dt$.

Remark. As it was proved in [7, p. 50], if $I_1(\omega), I_2(\omega)$ are Riemann integrals in probablity of f on $[a, b]$, then $P(\{\omega \in E; I_1(\omega) \neq I_2(\omega)\}) = 0$.

Using the method in [5], in Section 2 we introduce the so-called Kurzweil-Henstock (Riemann generalized) integral in probability. Section 3 contains basic properties of this generalized integral.

2 The Kurzweil-Henstock Integral in Probability

Firstly, we recall some concepts in [5] we need for our purpose.

A tagged division of $[a,b]$ is of the form

$$d_S : a = x_0 \leq \xi_0 \leq x_1 < ... < x_i \leq \xi_i \leq x_{i+1} < ... < x_{n-1} \leq \xi_{n-1} \leq x_n = b.$$

A gauge on $[a,b]$ is an open interval valued function γ defined on $[a,b]$, such that $t \in \gamma(t)$, $t \in [a,b]$. A tagged division d_S of $[a,b]$ is called γ-sharp if $[x_i, x_{i+1}] \subset \gamma(\xi_i)$, for all $i \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$.

Now, we are in position to introduce the following.

Definition 2.1. Let $f : [a,b] \to L(E,B,P)$. A random variable $I(\omega) \in L(E,B,P)$ is called a Kurzweil-Henstock (shortly (KH)) integral in probability of f on $[a,b]$, if : for all $\varepsilon > 0, \eta > 0$, there exists $\gamma_{\varepsilon,\eta}$-gauge on $[a,b]$, such that for any tagged division d_S which is $\gamma_{\varepsilon,\eta}$-sharp, we have

$$P(\{\omega \in E; |S(f; d_S, \xi_i)(\omega) - I(\omega)| \geq \varepsilon\}) < \eta,$$

where $S(f; d_S, \xi_i)(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(\xi_i, \omega)(x_{i+1} - x_i)$.

In this case, we write $I(\omega) = (KH) \int_a^b f(t,\omega)dt$.

Theorem 2.2. *If $I_1(\omega)$, $I_2(\omega)$ are (KH) integrals in probability of f on $[a,b]$, then $P(\{\omega \in E; I_1(\omega) \neq I_2(\omega)\}) = 0$.*

Proof. We will prove that $P(\{\omega \in E; |I_1(\omega) - I_2(\omega)| > 0\}) = 0$. Let $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$. There exists the gauges $\gamma^{(1)}_{\varepsilon,\eta}$, $\gamma^{(2)}_{\varepsilon,\eta}$ on $[a,b]$, such that for any tagged divisions of $[a,b]$, $d^{(1)}_S$, $d^{(2)}_S$ which are $\gamma^{(1)}_{\varepsilon,\eta}$-sharp and $\gamma^{(2)}_{\varepsilon,\eta}$-sharp, respectively, we have

$$P(\{\omega \in E; |S(f; d^{(1)}_S, \xi_i)(\omega) - I_1(\omega)| \geq \varepsilon/2\}) < \eta/2,$$

$$P(\{\omega \in E; |S(f; d^{(2)}_S, \xi_i)(\omega) - I_2(\omega)| \geq \varepsilon/2\}) < \eta/2.$$

Let us define a new gauge on $[a,b]$ by $\gamma(t) = \gamma^{(1)}_{\varepsilon,\eta}(t) \bigcap \gamma^{(2)}_{\varepsilon,\eta}(t)$, $t \in [a,b]$. By [5, Section 1.8], there exists a γ-sharp tagged division d_S of $[a,b]$.

Since $\gamma(t) \subset \gamma^{(1)}_{\varepsilon,\eta}(t)$, $\gamma(t) \subset \gamma^{(2)}_{\varepsilon,\eta}(t)$, $t \in [a,b]$, obviously that d_S is $\gamma^{(1)}_{\varepsilon,\eta}$-sharp and $\gamma^{(2)}_{\varepsilon,\eta}$-sharp too.

We have

$$|I_1(\omega) - I_2(\omega)| \leq |I_1(\omega) - S(f; d_S, \xi_i)(\omega)| + |S(f; d_S, \xi_i)(\omega) - I_2(\omega)|,$$

which immediately implies

$$\{\omega \in E; |I_1(\omega) - I_2(\omega)| \geq \varepsilon\} \subset \{\omega \in E; |I_1(\omega) - S(f; d_S, \xi_i)(\omega)| \geq \varepsilon/2\}$$

$$\bigcup\{\omega \in E; |S(f; d_S, \xi_i)(\omega) - I_2(\omega)| \geq \varepsilon/2\}$$

and

$$P(\{\omega \in E; |I_1(\omega) - I_2(\omega)| \geq \varepsilon\}) \leq P(\{\omega \in E; |I_1(\omega) - S(f; d_S, \xi_i)(\omega)| \geq \varepsilon/2\})$$

$$+P(\{\omega \in E; |S(f; d_S, \xi_i)(\omega) - I_2(\omega)| \geq \varepsilon/2\}) < \eta/2 + \eta/2 = \eta.$$

Now, considering $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed and passing to limit with $\eta \to 0$, we get $P(\{\omega \in E; |I_1(\omega) - I_2(\omega)| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$.

For $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{n}$, let us denote $A_n = \{\omega \in E; |I_1 - I_2| \geq 1/n\}$. Obviously $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \{\omega \in E; |I_1(\omega) - I_2(\omega)| > 0\}$. Then,

$$P(\{\omega \in E; |I_1(\omega) - I_2(\omega)| > 0\}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} P(A_n) = 0,$$

which proves the theorem. □

As in the case of usual real functions, another definition for the (KH) integral can be the following.

Definition 2.3. Let $f : [a, b] \to L(E, B, P)$. We say that f is Kurzweil-Henstock integrable in probability on $[a, b]$, if there exists $I \in L(E, B, P)$ with the property : for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\eta > 0$, there exists $\delta_{\varepsilon,\eta} : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}_+$, such that for any division $d_S : a = x_0 < x_1 < ... < x_n = b$ and any $\xi_i \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$ with $x_{i+1} - x_i < \delta_{\varepsilon,\eta}(\xi_i)$, $i = 0, ..., n-1$, we have

$$P(\{\omega \in E; |S(f; d_S, \xi_i)(\omega) - I(\omega)| \geq \varepsilon\}) < \eta.$$

Remarks. 1) The Definitions 2.1 and 2.3 are equivalent. Indeed, this easily follows from the fact that any function $\delta_{\varepsilon,\eta} : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}_+$, generates the gauge $\gamma_{\varepsilon,\eta}(t) = (t - \delta_{\varepsilon,\eta}(t)/2, t + \delta_{\varepsilon,\eta}(t)/2)$, $t \in [a, b]$ and conversely, any gauge $\gamma_{\varepsilon,\eta}$ on $[a, b]$ (which obviously can be written in the form $\gamma_{\varepsilon,\eta}(t) = (t - \alpha(t), t + \beta(t))$, $\alpha(t), \beta(t) > 0$, $t \in [a, b]$) generates the function $\delta_{\varepsilon,\eta}(t) = \alpha(t) + \beta(t)$, $t \in [a, b]$, such that the (KH)-integrability which uses the function $\delta_{\varepsilon,\eta}$ is equivalent with the (KH)-integrability which uses the gauge $\gamma_{\varepsilon,\eta}$.

2) If $\delta_{\varepsilon,\eta}$ is a constant function, Definition 2.3 reduces to Definition 1.1.

3 Properties of the (KH)-Integral in Probability

In this section, we will prove some properties of the (KH)-integral in probability. Firstly, we need the following.

Definition 3.1. (see e.g. [3, p. 82], [4]). We say that $\varphi : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is Kurzweil-Henstock integrable on $[a, b]$, if there exists $I \in \mathbb{R}$, such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta_\varepsilon : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$, such that for any division $d : a = x_0 < x_1 < ... < x_n = b$ and any $\xi_i \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$ with $x_{i+1} - x_i < \delta(\xi_i)$, we have $|I - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi(\xi_i)(x_{i+1} - x_i)| < \varepsilon$. We write $I = (KH) \int_a^b \varphi(t)dt$.

The following result holds.

Theorem 3.2. *If $f : [a, b] \to L(E, B, P)$ is of the form $f(t, \omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{p} C_k(\omega) \cdot \varphi_k(t)$, where $C_k \in L(E, B, P)$ and φ_k are Kurzweil-Henstock integrable on $[a, b]$,*

$k = 1, ..., p$, then f is Kurzweil-Henstock integrable in probability on $[a, b]$ and we have

$$(KH)\int_a^b f(t,\omega)dt = \sum_{k=1}^{p} C_k(\omega) \cdot (KH)\int_a^b \varphi_k(t)dt.$$

Proof. Obviously that it is sufficient to consider only the case when $f(t,\omega) = C(\omega)\cdot\varphi(t)$, $\omega \in E$, $t \in [a,b]$.

If $d : a = x_0 < ... < x_n = b$, $\xi_i \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$, $i = 0, ..., n-1$, then it is easy to see that $S(f; d, \xi_i)(\omega) = C(\omega)\cdot\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\varphi(\xi_i)\cdot(x_{i+1} - x_i)$. Let us denote $I = (KH)\int_a^b \varphi(t)dt$ and $A_m = \{\omega \in E; |C(\omega)| \geq m\}$. Obviously, $A_{m+1} \subset A_m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Denoting $A = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m$, since $C \in L(E, B, P)$ we get $P(A) = 0$ and $\lim_{m\to\infty} P(A_m) = P(A) = 0$. Consequently, if $\eta > 0$, there exists $N(\eta) \in \mathbb{N}$, such tat

$$P(\{\omega \in E; |C(\omega)| \geq m\}) < \eta, m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq N(\eta).$$

For fixed $m \geq N(\eta)$, let us consider $\varepsilon > 0$, such that $1/\varepsilon \geq m$. Now, for $\varepsilon^2 > 0$, since φ is Kurzweil-Henstock integrable on $[a,b]$, by Definition 3.1, there exists $\delta_{\varepsilon^2} : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$, such that for any division $d : a = x_0 < ... < x_n = b$ and any $\xi_i \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$ with $x_{i+1} - x_i < \delta_{\varepsilon^2}(\xi_i)$, $i = 0, ..., n-1$, we have $|I - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\varphi(\xi_i)(x_{i+1} - x_i)| < \varepsilon^2$.

We have

$$\{\omega \in E; |S(f; d, \xi_i)(\omega) - C(\omega)\cdot I| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

$$= \{\omega \in E; |C(\omega)|\cdot|I - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\varphi(\xi_i)(x_{+1} - x_i)| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

$$\subset \{\omega \in E; |C(\omega)| \geq 1/\varepsilon\} \subset \{\omega \in E; |C(\omega)| \geq m\},$$

i.e. $P(\{\omega \in E; |S(f; d, \xi_i)(\omega) - C(\omega)\cdot I| \geq \varepsilon\}) < \eta$, for any division $d : a = x_0 < ... < x_n = b$ and any $\xi_i \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$ with $x_{i+1} - x_i < \delta_{\varepsilon^2}(\xi_i)$ (in fact, ε depends on m, which depends on η, therefore $\delta_{\varepsilon^2}(\xi_i)$ depends on η too).

Then, by Definition 2.3, we get

$$(KH)\int_a^b f(t,\omega)dt = C(\omega)\cdot(KH)\int_a^b \varphi(t)dt,$$

which proves the theorem. □

Remark. Since the Kurzweil-Henstock integrability of a function $\varphi : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is more general than the Riemann integrability (in fact, it is equivalent with the so-called Denjoy-Perron integrability, see [2], [8]), Theorem 3.2 gives examples of random functions which are Kurzweil-Henstock integrable in probability on $[a,b]$ but are not Riemann integrable in probability in the sense of Definition 1.1.

For $p \geq 1$, let us consider

$$L^p(E, B, P) = \{g \in L(E, B, P); \int_E |g(\omega)|^p dP(\omega) < +\infty\},$$

where $\int_E |g(\omega)|^q dP(\omega)$ represents the q-th moment of the random variable g.

The following Fubini-type result holds.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $f : [a,b] \to L(E,B,P)$ be Kurzweil-Henstock integrable in probability on $[a,b]$ and such that there exists $A \in L^1(E,B,P)$, $A(\omega) \geq 0$, a.e. $\omega \in E$ with $P(\{\omega \in E; |f(t,\omega)| \leq A(\omega)\}) = 1$, for all $t \in [a,b]$. Then, $\varphi(t) = \int_E f(t,\omega)dP(\omega)$, $t \in [a,b]$, is Kurzweil-Henstock integrable on $[a,b]$ and*

$$(KH)\int_a^b \left[\int_E f(t,\omega)dP(\omega)\right]dt = \int_E \left[(KH)\int_a^b f(t,\omega)dt\right]dP(\omega).$$

Proof. Let us denote $I(\omega) = (KH)\int_a^b f(t,\omega)dt \in L(E,B,P)$. Since f is Kurzweil-Henstock integrable on $[a,b]$, for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\eta = 1/m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\delta_{\varepsilon,m} : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$, such that for any division $d_m : a = x_0^{(m)} < x_1^{(m)} < ... < x_{n_m}^{(m)} = b$ and any $\xi_i^{(m)} \in [x_i^{(m)}, x_{i+1}^{(m)}]$, with $x_{i+1}^{(m)} - x_i^{(m)} < \delta_{\varepsilon,m}(\xi_i^{(m)})$, $i = 0, ..., n_m - 1$, we have

$$P(\{\omega \in E; |S(f; d_m, \xi_i^{(m)})(\omega) - I(\omega)| \geq \varepsilon\}) < 1/m,\ m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This means that $S(f; d_m, \xi_i^{(m)})(\omega) \to I(\omega)$ in probability, as $m \to \infty$.

On the other hand,

$$|S(f; d_m, \xi_i^{(m)})(\omega)| = |\sum_{i=0}^{n_m-1} f(\xi_i^{(m)}, \omega)(x_{i+1}^{(m)} - x_i^{(m)})| \leq A(\omega)\cdot(b-a),\ \text{a.e. } \omega \in E$$

and taking into account the well-known property of the integral with respect to P, we immediately get

$$\int_E I(\omega)dP(\omega) = \lim_{m\to\infty}\int_E S(f; d_m, \xi_i^{(m)})(\omega)dP(\omega)$$

$$= \lim_{m\to\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{n_m-1}\left[\int_E f(\xi_i^{(m)}, \omega)dP(\omega)\right]\cdot(x_{i+1}^{(m)} - x_i^{(m)}).$$

Now, reasoning exactly as in the case of the definitions of Riemann integrability (see e.g. [6, p. 379-380 and p. 383-384]), it is easy to obtain that the Kurzweil-Henstock integrability in Definition 3.1 is equivalent with the fact that there exists a sequence $\delta_m : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for any sequence of divisions $(d_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$, $d_m : a = x_0^{(m)} < x_1^{(m)} < ... < x_{n_m}^{(m)} = b$, and any sequence $(\xi_i^{(m)})_{i=0,n_m-1}$ with $\xi_i^{(m)} \in [x_i^{(m)}, x_{i+1}^{(m)}]$, $x_{i+1}^{(m)} - x_i^{(m)} < \delta_m(\xi_i^{(m)})$, $i = 0, ..., n_m - 1$, we have

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} S(\varphi, d_m, \xi_i^{(m)}) = I = (KH)\int_a^b \varphi(t)dt.$$

But, denoting $\varphi(t) = \int_E f(t,\omega)dP(\omega)$, $t \in [a,b]$, by the previous reasonings we immediately get that φ is Kurzweil-Henstock integrable on $[a,b]$ and

$$(KH)\int_a^b \left[\int_E f(t,\omega)dP(\omega)\right]dt = \int_E I(\omega)dP(\omega)$$

$$= \int_E \left[(KH) \int_a^b f(t,\omega)dt \right] dP(\omega),$$

which proves the theorem. □

Remarks. 1) Theorem 3.3 is an analogue of Theorem III.8 in [7, p. 55].

2) Let $f, F : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that $F'(x) = f(x)$, $x \in (a,b)$. It is known (se e.g. [1]) that in this case f is (KH)-integrable on $[a,b]$ and $(KH)\int_a^b f(x)dx = F(b) - F(a)$.

Now, let $f, F : [a,b] \to L(E,B,P)$ be such that in each $t_0 \in (a,b)$, f is the derivative in probability of $F(t_0,w)$, i.e. for all $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$, there exists $\delta(\varepsilon,\eta) > 0$, such that for all $t \in [a,b]$, $t \neq t_0$, $|t - t_0| < \delta(\varepsilon,\eta)$, we have

$$P(\{\omega \in E; |\left[F(t_0,\omega) - F(t,\omega)\right]/(t-t_0) - f(t_0,\omega)| \geq \varepsilon\}) < \eta,$$

holds.

Then, the following question arises : in what conditions $f(t,\omega)$ is (KH)-integrable in probability on $[a,b]$ and

$$(KH)\int_a^b f(t,\omega)dP(\omega) = F(b,\omega) - F(a,\omega), \text{ a.e. } \omega \in E.$$

References

[1] G. Cross, O. Shisha, *A new approach to integration*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **114**(1986), 289-294.

[2] R. Henstock, *Theory of Integration*, Butterworths, London, 1963.

[3] R. Henstock, *A Riemann-type integral of Lebesque power*, Canad. J. Math., **20**(1968), 79-87.

[4] J. Kurzweil, *Generalized ordinary differential equations and continuous dependence on a parameter*, Czechoslovak Math. J., **7(82)**(1957), 418-446.

[5] R. M. MacLeod, *The Generalized Riemann Integral*, Carus Mathematical Monographs, The Mathematical Association of America, 1980.

[6] M. Nicolescu, N. Dinculeanu, S. Marcus, *Mathematical Analysis(Romanian)*, vol. 1, Ed. 4, Edit. Did. Pedag., Bucharest, 1983.

[7] O. Onicescu, Gh. Cenusa, I. Sacuiu, *Random Function Almost Periodic in Probability(Romanian)*, Academic Press, Bucharest, 1983.

[8] L. P. Yee, W. Naak-In, *A direct proof that Henstock and Denjoy integrals are equivalent*, Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc., **(2)5(1982**, 43-47.